## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

GARY COLLINS,	)	
4501 E. 105 <sup>TH</sup> St.	)	
Kansas City, MO 64137	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Case No.
vs.	)	Division No.
	)	
ASSET ACCEPTANCE, LLC,	)	
28405 Van Dyke Ave	)	
Warren, MI 48093	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

### PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

GARY COLLINS (Plaintiff), by his attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the following against the ASSET ACCEPTANCE, LLC(Defendant):

#### INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Verified Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). According to the FDCPA, the United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy. Congress wrote the FDCPA to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses. 15 U.S.C. 1692(a) – (e).

- Plaintiff brings this action to challenge Defendant's actions with regard to attempts by Defendant, a debt collector, to unlawfully and abusively collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, and this conduct caused Plaintiff's damages.
- Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained therein.
- 5. Because Defendant conducts business in the state of Missouri, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 6. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(1).
- 7. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2201 and 2202.

### **PARTIES**

- 8. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in Kansas City, Missouri, and is allegedly obligated to pay a debt, and Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3).
- 9. Defendant is a debt collector law firm and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff that was allegedly due and owing from Plaintiff, and Plaintiff is a "debtor" as that term is defined by *Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(h)*.
- 10. Defendant is a law firm debt collector with an office in Warren, Macomb County,

Michigan

11. Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the

principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, or who regularly collects or

attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or

due another and is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

12. Defendant is a law firm debt collector that in the ordinary course of business, regularly,

on behalf of itself or others, engages in debt collection as that term is defined by Cal.

Civ. Code §1788.2(b), and is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code

§1788.2(c).

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

13. Defendant constantly and continuously places collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt.

- 14. Defendant places collection calls to Plaintiff from the number (804) 234-9057 seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt.
- 15. Defendant places collection calls to the number (816) 763-8257.
- 16. Defendant placed so many collection calls that Plaintiff was forced to change his telephone number.

# COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 17. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
  - a. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, and abuse Plaintiff.
  - b. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing the phone to ring and engaging Plaintiff in telephone conversations repeatedly.

3

- c. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by engaging in unfair and unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect the alleged debt.
- 18. As a direct and proximate result of one or more or all of the statutory violations above Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress (see Exhibit "A").

**WHEREFORE,** Plaintiff, GARY COLLINS, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, ASSET ACCEPTANCE, LLC, for the following:

- 19. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 20. Statutory damages pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k,
- 21. Actual damages,
- 22. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k
- 23. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

### RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: \_\_\_\_\_\_/s/ Patrick Cuezze
Patrick Cuezze
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Krohn & Moss, Ltd.
10635 Santa Monica Blvd. Suite 170
Los Angeles, CA 90025

### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, GARY COLLINS, hereby demands trial by jury in this action.

### **VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION**

### STATE OF MISSOURI)

Plaintiff, GARY COLLINGS, states the following:

1. I am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding.

2. I have read the above-entitled civil Complaint prepared by my attorneys and I believe that all of the facts contained in it are true, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry.

3. I believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted by existing law or by a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing

law.

4. I believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass any Defendant(s), cause unnecessary delay to any Defendant(s), or create a needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s), named in the Complaint.

5. I have filed this Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth in it.

6. Each and every exhibit I have provided to my attorneys which has been attached to

this Complaint is a true and correct copy of the original.

7. Except for clearly indicated redactions made by my attorneys where appropriate, I have not altered, changed, modified or fabricated these exhibits, except that some of the attached exhibits may contain some of my own handwritten notations.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I, GARY COLLINGS, hereby declare (or certify, verify or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date SARY COLLINGS

5

### EXHIBIT A

Defendant's debt collection activities: 1. Sleeplessness NO 2. Fear of answering the telephone NO 3. Nervousness 4. Fear of answering the door 5. Embarrassment when speaking with family or friends NO 6. Depressions (sad, anxious, or "empty" moods) NO 7. Chest pains NO 8. Feelings of hopelessness, pessimism NO 9. Feelings of guilt, worthlessness, helplessness 10. Appetite and/or weight loss or overeating and weight gain NO 11. Thoughts of death, suicide or suicide attempts NO 12. Restlessness or irritability 13. Headache, nausea, chronic pain or fatigue 14. Negative impact on my job 15. Negative impact on my relationships Other physical or emotional symptoms you believe are associated with abusive debt collection activities: Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \$ 1746(2), I hereby declare (or certify, verify or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated: Printed Name

I have suffered from the following due to, or made worse by, the actions of the